

An Algebraic Approach to the Weyl Groupoid and Weyl Bundle

Tristan Bice

Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University

November 26th 2019

IMPAN Workshop

Cartan C^* -Subalgebras and Noncommutative Dynamics

Étale Groupoids, C*-Algebras and *-Semigroups

- ▶ At the beginning of time (1980), there was Renault's thesis:

$$\text{LCH Étale Groupoid} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{C}^*\text{-Algebra.}$$

- ▶ What about \leftarrow ?

How do we find groupoid models of C*-algebras?

1. Exel's (2008) tight groupoid construction:

$$\text{Inverse Semigroup} \quad \rightarrow \quad (\text{ample}) \text{ LCH Étale Groupoid.}$$

2. Kumjian (1986) and Renault's (2008) Weyl groupoid:

$$\text{Cartan C}^*\text{-Subalgebra} \quad \rightarrow \quad (\text{effective}) \text{ LCH Étale Groupoid.}$$

- ▶ Could we unify these two constructions? Yes – *-semigroups!

$$\text{Inverse Semigroups} \quad \subseteq \quad \text{*}-\text{Semigroups} \quad \xleftarrow{\text{*}-\text{Normalisers}} \quad \text{Cartan C}^*\text{-algebras.}$$

- ▶ First let's go back to basics – commutative C*-algebras.

Ideals

- ▶ How do we recover LCH X from $A = C_0(X)$?
- ▶ Gelfand's (1943) answer : Consider the characters of A .
- ▶ \approx Gelfand's answer: Consider the (proper) closed **ideals** of A

$$\mathbf{I}^A = \{I \subseteq A : I = I + I = A/I = \text{cl}(I) \neq A\}$$

with the hull-kernel/Jacobson topology, i.e. generated by

$$O_a = \{I \in \mathbf{I}^A : a \notin I\}.$$

- ▶ Every $x \in X$ defines a maximal closed ideal

$$M_x = \{a \in A : a(x) = 0\}.$$

- ▶ Conversely, every maximal closed ideal is of this form so

$$x \mapsto M_x \text{ is a homeomorphism from } X \text{ onto } \mathbf{M}^A$$

i.e. $\mathbf{M}^A = \{M \in \mathbf{I}^A : \nexists I \in \mathbf{I}^A (M \subsetneq I)\}$ recovers X .

Filters

- ▶ How do we recover LCH X from $A_+^1 = C_0(X, [0, 1])$?
- ▶ Milgram's (1949) answer: Consider the **filters** $F \subseteq A_+^1$:

$$f, g \in F \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists h \in F (h \prec f, g) \quad (\text{Filter})$$

where \prec is the **domination** relation defined by

$$a \prec b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a = ab \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{supp}(a) \subseteq b^{-1}\{1\}$$

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{U}_+^{A_+^1}$ denote the **ultrafilters** (i.e. maximal proper filters) in A_+^1 again with the topology generated by $(O_a)_{a \in A}$ where

$$O_a = \{U \in \mathbf{U}_+^{A_+^1} : a \in U\}.$$

- ▶ Every $x \in X$ defines an ultrafilter

$$U_x = \{a \in A_+^1 : 1 \in \text{int}(a^{-1}\{1\})\}.$$

- ▶ Conversely, every ultrafilter is of this form so $\mathbf{U}_+^{A_+^1}$ recovers X ,
 $x \mapsto U_x$ is a homeomorphism from X onto $\mathbf{U}_+^{A_+^1}$.

- ▶ **Note:** Unlike characters or ideals, ultrafilters depend only in the semigroup structure of (the positive unit ball of) A .

Étale Groupoids

- ▶ Given LCH étale groupoid G , consider the $*$ -semigroups

$$S = \{a \in C_0(G, \mathbb{D}) : \text{supp}(a) \text{ is a bisection}\}.$$

$$E = C_0(G^0, \mathbb{D}).$$

$$a^*(g) = \overline{a(g^*)}, \quad ab(gh) = a(g)b(h) \quad \text{for } g \in \text{supp}(a), h \in \text{supp}(b).$$

- ▶ Normally, we also consider the C^* -algebras they generate

$$A = C_r^*(G) = C^*(S).$$

$$B = C_0(G^0) = C^*(E).$$

- ▶ Kumjian (1986)/Renault (2008) showed how to recover G from A and B , at least when G is principal/effective:

1. Recover S as the contractive ***-normalisers** $n \in A$ of B ,

$$nBn^* \cup n^*Bn \subseteq B. \quad (*\text{-Normaliser})$$

2. Consider the (partial) action of S on the spectrum Φ^B given by

$$s\phi(a) = \phi(s^*as)/\phi(s^*s) \quad (\text{when } \phi(s^*s) \neq 0).$$

3. Recover G as the groupoid of germs of this action.

*-Domination

- ▶ What if we reverse the roles of $B = C_0(G^0)$ and

$$S = \{a \in C_0(G, \mathbb{D}) : \text{supp}(a) \text{ is a bisection}\}?$$

- ▶ Given $S \subseteq A$, we can certainly recover $B = C^*(|S|^2)$.
- ▶ Or let us just take the *-semigroups S and $E = B^1$ as given.
- ▶ **Claim:** This is all we need to recover any LCH étale G .
- ▶ **Idea:** Again consider ultrafilters w.r.t. the relation given by

$$a \lesssim b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{supp}(a) \subseteq b^{-1}[\mathbb{T}].$$

- ▶ First we need an algebraic characterisation of \lesssim .

Proposition

$$a \lesssim b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a = ab^*b \quad \text{and} \quad ab^* \in E.$$

Proof.

- ▶ Roughly, $ab^* \in E$ corresponds to $\text{supp}(a) \subseteq \text{supp}(b)$.
- ▶ Then $a = ab^*b$ implies $b[\text{supp}(a)] \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. □

Reconstruction

- Recall: G is a LCH étale groupoid,

$$S = \{a \in C_0(G, \mathbb{D}) : \text{supp}(a) \text{ is a bisection}\}$$

and \mathbf{U}^S denotes the \simeq -ultrafilters in S topologised by

$$O_a = \{U \in \mathbf{U}^S : a \in U\}.$$

Theorem (B. 2019)

We have a homeomorphism from G onto \mathbf{U}^S given by

$$g \mapsto U_g = \{a \in S : g \in \text{int}(a^{-1}[\mathbb{T}])\}.$$

Moreover, for all $g, h \in G$ with $g^*g = hh^*$,

$$U_{g^*} = (U_g)^* \quad \text{and} \quad U_{gh} = (U_g U_h)^{\simeq}.$$

- So ultrafilters recover the topology+groupoid structure of G .

Reconstruction

We have a homeomorphism from G onto \mathbf{U}^S given by

$$g \mapsto U_g = \{a \in S : g \in \text{int}(a^{-1}[\mathbb{T}])\}.$$

Proof.

- ▶ Outline: By Urysohn, each U_g is an ultrafilter.
- ▶ Conversely, if U is an ultrafilter, $\bigcap_{a \in U} a^{-1}[\mathbb{T}] \neq \emptyset$.
- ▶ Thus $U \subseteq U_g$, for some $g \in G$, so $U = U_g$ by maximality.
- ▶ So $\mathbf{U}^S = \{U_g : g \in G\}$. By Urysohn, $g \mapsto U_g$ is homeo. \square

- ▶ Certainly $U_{g^*} = (U_g)^*$ and $U_g U_h \subseteq U_{gh}$.
- ▶ Conversely, given $a \in U_{gh}$, take $b \in U_{gh}$ with $b \lesssim a$.
- ▶ Taking $c \in U_g$, note $c^* b \in U_{g^*} U_{gh} \subseteq U_h$ so

$$a \lesssim cc^* b \in U_g U_h.$$

- ▶ Thus $U_{gh} = (U_g U_h) \lesssim$.

Further Comments

- ▶ $S \subseteq \mathcal{N}^*(E) \subseteq C_r^*(G)$ but $S = \mathcal{N}^*(E)$ only if G is effective.
- ▶ E.g. if G is a group, $\mathcal{N}^*(E) = \mathcal{N}^*(\mathbb{D}1_A) \supseteq$ all unitaries.
- ▶ Reconstruction valid if just G^0 is Hausdorff (even if G is not).
- ▶ Even works for a Fell bundle F (if the fibres have unitaries):
 1. $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ is a Banach bundle.
 2. F is a $*$ -category and π is a $*$ -isocofibration.
 3. Product is bilinear, $*$ is conjugate linear.
 4. $\|ef\| \leq \|e\|\|f\|$, $\|ff^*\| = \|f\|^2$ and $ff^* \geq 0$.
- ▶ Then take $S = *$ -semigroup of continuous sections a of F with $\text{supp}(a)$ a bisection and $a(g) = \lambda u$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and u unitary.
- ▶ Can recover the fibres too if we do now consider $A = C_r^*(F) = C^*(S)$ together with $\Phi(a) = a|_{G^0}$ as

$$a(g) = b(g) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \inf_{c \in U_g} \|\Phi(ac^*) - \Phi(bc^*)\| = 0.$$

Going Abstract

- ▶ Like with the Gelfand representation and the Kumjian-Renault Weyl groupoid, we can start with an abstract $*$ -semigroup S .

Definition

Call a $*$ -subsemigroup $E \subseteq S$ **Cartan** if

1. E is $*$ -normal in S , i.e. for all $s \in S$, $sEs^* \subseteq E$.
2. The $*$ -squares $|S|^2 = \{ss^* : s \in S\}$ are central in E , i.e.

$$|S|^2 \subseteq E \cap E'.$$

- ▶ This all we need for our general Weyl groupoid construction, i.e. a $*$ -semigroup S together with a Cartan subsemigroup E .
- ▶ Note E itself does not have to be commutative.
- ▶ This is important well dealing with 'non-commutative Cartan subalgebras' e.g. coming from Fell bundles.

Examples

- ▶ If S is an inverse semigroup, the idempotents are Cartan.
 - ▶ If S satisfies Lawson's trapping condition then our Weyl groupoid will be the same as Exel's tight groupoid.
- ▶ If S is a group, any normal subgroup is Cartan.
- ▶ Every (commutative) Cartan subalgebra E of a C^* -algebra A is a Cartan subsemigroup of its $*$ -normaliser $*$ -semigroup

$$S = \mathcal{N}^*(E) = \{s \in A : s^*Es \cup sEs^* \subseteq S\}.$$

- ▶ More generally, if A is a topological $*$ -semigroup then any closed commutative $*$ -subsemigroup E containing an approximate unit for A is Cartan in $S = \mathcal{N}^*(E)$.
- ▶ Alternatively, any maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra E of any $*$ -algebra A is Cartan in the intertwiners of E_{sa} –

$$S = \mathcal{I}(E_{sa}) = \{s \in A : sE_{sa} = E_{sa}s\}.$$

- ▶ When E is a Cartan subalgebra of a C^* -algebra A ,

$$\mathcal{N}^*(E) = \overline{\mathcal{I}(E_{sa})}$$

(Donsig and Pitts (2008) showed $\mathcal{N}^*(E) = \overline{\mathcal{N}(E)}$).

Abstract *-Domination

- ▶ Given a *-semigroup S and Cartan $E \subseteq S$, define

$$a \prec b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a = ab. \quad (\text{Domination})$$

$$a \sim b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad ab^* \in E. \quad (\text{Compatibility})$$

$$a \succsim b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b \sim a \prec b^*b. \quad (*\text{-Domination})$$

- ▶ **Note:** if S is an inverse semigroup, \succsim is the canonical order.
- ▶ As E is Cartan, \succsim has the required properties, e.g.

$$a \succsim b \succsim c \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \succsim c. \quad (\text{Transitivity})$$

$$a \succsim b \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^* \succsim b^*. \quad (*\text{-Invariance})$$

$$a \succsim b \quad \text{and} \quad c \succsim d \quad \Rightarrow \quad ac \succsim bd. \quad (\text{Multiplicative})$$

- ▶ E.g. for (Multiplicative), say $a \succsim b$ and $c \succsim d$.
- ▶ As *-squares are central in E ,

$$ac(bd)^*bd = acd^*b^*bd = ab^*bcd^*d = ac.$$

- ▶ As E is *-normal in S ,

$$ac(bd)^* = acd^*b^* = ab^*bcd^*b^* \in EbEb^* \subseteq E.$$

Cosets and Ultrafilters

Definition

$C \subseteq S$ is a **coset** if $C = C \simeq = CC^*C$.

- ▶ When S is a group with normal subgroup E , these are precisely the cosets of subgroups containing E .
- ▶ We consider the topology on cosets \mathbf{C}^S generated by

$$O_a = \{C \in \mathbf{C}^S : a \in C\}.$$

Theorem (B. 2019)

The cosets form an étale groupoid with inverse and product:

$$C \mapsto C^* \quad \text{and} \quad (B, C) \mapsto (BC) \simeq \quad (\text{when } (B^*B) \simeq = (CC^*) \simeq)$$

- ▶ Proof uses the properties of \simeq just mentioned.
- ▶ This extends inverse semigroup results of Lawson-Margolis-Steinberg (2013).
- ▶ The \simeq -ultrafilters form an 'ideal' subgroupoid of the cosets.
- ▶ Thus the ultrafilter groupoid \mathbf{U}^S is also étale.
- ▶ If $S \ni 0$ then the unit ultrafilters are also Hausdorff.

Local Compactness

Theorem (B. 2019)

If S is a $*$ -subsemigroup of the unit ball of a C^* -algebra and $E \subseteq S$ is the unit ball of the C^* -subalgebra it generates,

$$a \lesssim b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O_a \subseteq O_b,$$

i.e. \exists compact C with $O_a \subseteq C \subseteq O_b$ (**Hausdorff case**: $\overline{O_a} \subseteq O_b$).

- ▶ Any $U \in \mathbf{U}^S$ has neighbourhood base $(O_a)_{a \in U}$.
- ▶ Thus U has a compact neighbourhood base.

Corollary

The ultrafilter groupoid \mathbf{U}^S is locally compact.

- ▶ Even applies to a general class of $*$ -rings A with a commutative lattice ordered $*$ -subring $L \supseteq |S|^2$.
- ▶ E.g. applies to Steinberg algebras, real C^* -algebras etc.

The Weyl Bundle

- ▶ **Assume:** A is a C^* -algebra with conditional expectation Φ and $*$ -subsemigroup $S \subseteq A^1$, $|S|^2 \subseteq \Phi[S]$ and, for all $s \in S$,

$$\Phi(sas^*) = s\Phi(a)s^*.$$

$$ss^*\Phi(a) = \Phi(a)ss^*.$$

- ▶ Then $E = \Phi[S]$ is $*$ -normal and $|S|^2 \subseteq E \cap E'$.
- ▶ Each $U \in \mathbf{U}^S$ defines a closed subspace $A_U \subseteq A$ by

$$A_U = \{a \in A : \inf_{u \in U} \|\Phi(au^*)\| = 0\}.$$

- ▶ Topologise $\mathbf{A}^S = \{(U, a + A_U) : U \in \mathbf{U}^S, a \in A\}$ by $(a_s^\delta)_{s \in S}^{\delta > 0}$:
 $a_s^\delta = \{(U, b + A_U) : s \in U \text{ and } \exists t \in U \|\Phi(at^*) - \Phi(bt^*)\| < \delta\}$.
- ▶ Each $a \in A$ defines a continuous section $\hat{a}(U) = (U, a + A_U)$.

Theorem (B. 2019)

The **Weyl bundle** \mathbf{A}^S is a Fell bundle with involution and product

$$(U, a + A_U)^* = (U^*, a^* + A_{U^*}).$$

$$(U, a + A_U)(V, b + A_V) = ((UV)^{\sim}, ab + A_{(UV)^{\sim}}).$$

Hilbert C^* -Modules and Hilbert-Fell Bundles

- ▶ Say X is a Hilbert A -module with ‘conditional expectation’ Ψ , i.e. Ψ is an idempotent linear map on X compatible with Φ :

$$\Psi(\Psi(x)a) = \Psi(x)\Phi(a) = \Psi(x\Phi(a)).$$

$$\Phi(\langle \Psi(x)|y \rangle) = \langle \Psi(x)|\Psi(y) \rangle = \Phi(\langle x|\Psi(y) \rangle).$$

- ▶ Again each $U \in \mathbf{U}^S$ defines a closed subspace $X_U \subseteq X$ by

$$X_U = \{x \in X : \inf_{u \in U} \|\Psi(xu^*)\| = 0\}.$$

- ▶ Topologise $\mathbf{X}^S = \{(U, a + A_U) : U \in \mathbf{U}^S, a \in A\}$ by $(x_s^\delta)_{s \in S}^{\delta > 0}$.
 $x_s^\delta = \{(U, y + X_U) : s \in U \text{ and } \exists t \in U \|\Psi(xt^*) - \Psi(yt^*)\| < \delta\}.$
- ▶ Each $x \in X$ defines a continuous section $\hat{x}(U) = (U, x + X_U)$.

Theorem (B. 2019)

The Weyl bundle \mathbf{X}^S is a ‘Hilbert \mathbf{A}^S -bundle’ where

$$(U, x + X_U)(V, a + A_V) = ((UV)^{\tilde{\sim}}, xa + X_{(UV)^{\tilde{\sim}}}) : (U^*U)^{\tilde{\sim}} = (VV^*)^{\tilde{\sim}}.$$

$$\langle (U, x + X_U)|(V, y + X_V) \rangle = ((U^*V)^{\tilde{\sim}}, \langle x|y \rangle + A_{(U^*V)^{\tilde{\sim}}}) : (UU^*)^{\tilde{\sim}} = (VV^*)^{\tilde{\sim}}$$

A Very Twisted Fell Bundle

Question (Sims 2018)

Does every Weyl twist admit a continuous global section?

≡ Do all Fell line bundles have cts nowhere vanishing sections?

▶ A counterexample comes from Pedersen-Petersen (1971).

▶ There they defined a C^* -algebra A as cts sections of

$$B = \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & d \end{bmatrix}, V \right) : a, d \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{c}} \in V \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a' & \mathbf{b}' \\ \mathbf{c}' & d' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aa' + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}' & ab' + d'\mathbf{b} \\ a'\mathbf{c} + d\mathbf{c}' & \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}' + dd' \end{bmatrix}.$$

▶ $A = C_r^*(F)$ where F is a Fell line bundle over (principal)

$$G = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \{0, 1\}^2.$$

▶ A is 2-homogeneous but $A \not\cong PC(X, M_n)P$ (B.-Farah 2012).

A Very Twisted Fell Bundle

- ▶ Say we have cts \mathbf{b} on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with $\mathbf{b}(V) \in V$ and $\|\mathbf{b}(V)\| = 1$.
- ▶ Identify the 3-sphere S^3 with $\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \|\mathbf{e}\| = 1\}$.
- ▶ Define $f : S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(\approx S^1)$ by $f(\mathbf{e})\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{e})$.
- ▶ For all $\mathbf{e} \in S^3$, note that

$$f(-\mathbf{e}) = -f(\mathbf{e})$$

i.e. we have a continuous map from a higher to lower dimensional sphere preserving antipodal points.

- ▶ This contradicts the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem.
- ▶ Thus continuous sections always vanish somewhere.
- ▶ So Kumjian's twists are more general than Renault's cocycles.